## THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

:

DIANE NORWOOD, CAROLYN HOULE, ANNETTE ARNOLD, BETTY CABLE and VERA CARTER,

Case No. 05-CV-924 PAM/RLE

:

Plaintiffs,

:

vs.

WYETH, d/b/a WYETH, INC. and WYETH PHARMACEUTICALS; PFIZER, INC.; BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY; GREENSTONE LTD.; ABBOTT LABORATORIES; and BARR LABORATORIES, INC.,

Defendants.

## **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

On this day, the Stipulation of Dismissal (Docket No. 14) filed by DIANE NORWOOD, CAROLYN HOULE, ANNETTE ARNOLD, BETTY CABLE and VERA CARTER, all of the plaintiffs, and BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, one of the defendants n the above-referenced cause, came on for consideration pursuant fo Rule 4(a)(1),Fed.R.Civ.P.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Stipulation of Dismissal filed by plaintiffs and defendant Bristol-Myers Squibb Company herein is approved and the claims asserted against Bristol-Myers Squibb Company by plaintiffs DIANNE NORWOOD, CAROLYN HOULE, ANNETTE ARNOLD, BETTY CABLE and VERA CARTER are dismissed subject to the terms of and conditions set forth below:

1. This dismissal is without prejudice at this time, but will become a dismissal with prejudice in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph 4 below.

CASE 0:05-cv-00924-PAM-JSM Document 16 Filed 08/30/05 Page 2 of 2

2. Between the date of this Order and February 15, 2006, any plaintiff whose case is

dismissed herein may move to amend her complaint in this action to reassert a claim against

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, accompanied by (a) a signed consent to the amendment by

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, or (b) a demonstration of evidentiary support and legal basis

to assert a claim against Bristol-Myers Squibb Company.

3. If the Court grants a motion to amend that is served and filed within the time limits

of Paragraph 2 above, then (a) all defenses to which Bristol-Myers Squibb Company was

entitled as of the effective date of the Original Complaint against Bristol-Myers Squibb

Company are preserved, and (b) Bristol-Myers Squibb Company may not assert any statute

of limitations defense based solely on the passage of time between the effective date of the

Original Complaint against Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and the reassertion of a claim

against Bristol-Myers Squibb Company in the Amended Complaint.

4. In the event that the moving plaintiffs do not bring a motion to amend as provided in

Paragraph 2, or in the event that the Court denies the motion to amend, this dismissal will

automatically become a dismissal with prejudice on February 15, 2006, without further action

by the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that court costs shall be taxed against the parties

incurring same.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 29th , day of August , 2005

s/Paul A. Magnuson

Paul A. Magnuson

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE